

Reply of October 16, 2002 Was Not Fully Responsive

The Examiner states that:

"The reply filed on October 16, 2002 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): A marked-up version of Claim 1 was attached to the Response but no formal amendment or clean copy of Claim 1 was attached to the response."


Office Action Mailed January 15, 2003, page 2.

Applicant enclose a clean copy of Claim 1, so as to comply with 37 CFR 1.111.

In view of the foregoing remarks, Applicants submit that this application is in condition for allowance. A favorable action passing this case to issue is therefore requested respectfully. If a telephone interview would be of assistance in advancing prosecution of this application, Applicants' Attorney invites the Examiner to contact him at the number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: Feb 3, 2003



Peter L. Dolan, Ph.D. J.D.
Registration No. 46,307

RECEIVED

FEB 12 2003

TECH CENTER 1600/2600

Marked Up Version of Re-Written Claim

1. (Once Amended) A method for producing a fine, highly crystalline material produced by the method comprising fluid energy milling a crystalline material using a milling fluid comprising helium ~~at reduced temperature~~, wherein the temperature of the milling fluid is between -30°C and -120°C .

